

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW
8110 GATEHOUSE ROAD
SUITE 500 EAST
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22042-1210
U S A
(703) 205-8000

FAX: (703) 205-8050
(703) 698-8590 (G IV)

e-mail: mailroom@bskb.com
web: http://www.bskb.com

CALIFORNIA OFFICE:
COSTA MESA, CALIFORNIA

THOMAS S. AUCHTERLONIE
JAMES T. ELLER, JR.
SCOTT L. LOWE
MARK J. NUEL, Ph.D.
D. RICHARD ANDERSON
PAUL C. LEWIS
MARK W. MILSTEAD*
RICHARD J. GALLAGHER
JAYNE M. SAYDAH*

REG. PATENT AGENTS.
FREDERICK R. HANDREN
MARYANNE ARMSTRONG, Ph.D.
MAKI HATSUMI
MIKE S. RYU
CRAIG A. McROBBIE
GARTH M. DAHLEN, Ph.D.
LAURA C. LUTZ
ROBERT E. GOOZNER, Ph.D.
HYUNG N. SOHN
MATTHEW J. LATTIG
ALAN PEDERSEN-GILES
C. KEITH MONTGOMERY
TIMOTHY R. WYCKOFF
KRISTI L. RUPERT, Ph.D.
LARRY J. HUME
ALBERT LEE
HRAYR A. SAYADIAN, Ph.D.

TERRELL C. BIRCH
RAYMOND C. STEWART
JOSEPH A. KOLASCH
JAMES M. SLATTERY
BERNARD L. SWEENEY*
MICHAEL K. MUTTER
CHARLES GORENSTEIN
GERALD M. MURPHY, JR.
LEONARD R. SVENSSON
TERRY L. CLARK
ANDREW D. MEIKLE
MARC S. WEINER
JOE MCKINNEY MUNCY
ROBERT J. KENNEY
DONALD J. DALEY
JOHN W. BAILEY
JOHN A. CASTELLANO, III
GARY D. YACURA

OF COUNSEL
HERBERT M. BIRCH (1905-1996)
ELLIOT A. GOLDBERG*
WILLIAM L. GATES*
EDWARD H. VALANCE
RUPERT J. BRADY (RET.)*
F. PRINCE BUTLER
FRED S. WHISENHUNT

*ADMITTED TO A BAR OTHER THAN VA.

Date: October 26, 2000

Docket No.: 1614-244P

BOX PATENT APPLICATION

Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, DC 20231

Sir:

As authorized by the inventor(s), transmitted herewith for filing is a patent application applied for on behalf of the inventor(s) according to the provisions of 37 C.F.R. § 1.41(c), which claims priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) of Swedish Application No. 9903950-5 filed on October 19, 1999 and under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) of Provisional Application No. 60/164,148 filed on November 8, 1999.

Inventor(s): VALENTA, Rudolf; VRTALA, Susanne;
STUMMFOLL, Sabine; GRÖNLUND, Hans; GROTE, Monika;
VANGELISTA, Luca; PASTORE, Annalisa;
SPERR Wolfgang R.; VALENT, Peter; KRAFT, Dietrich

For: NON-ANAPHYLACTIC FORMS OF GRASS POLLEN Phl p 6 ALLERGEN
AND THEIR USE

Enclosed are:

- ☒ A specification consisting of twenty-seven (27) pages
- ☒ Eleven (11) sheet(s) of formal drawings
- ☐ Certified copy of Priority Document(s)

Docket No. 1614-244P

- ☒ Executed Declaration in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.64 will follow
- ☐ A statement to establish small entity status under 37 C.F.R. § 1.9 and 37 C.F.R. § 1.27
- ☐ Preliminary Amendment
- ☒ Information Sheet
- ☐ Information Disclosure Statement, PTO-1449 and reference(s)
- ☐ Amend the specification by inserting before the first line the sentence:

--This application claims priority on provisional Application No. 60/164,148 filed on November 8, 1999, the entire contents of which are hereby incorporated by reference.--

- ☐ Other:

The filing fee has been calculated as shown below:

			LARGE ENTITY	SMALL ENTITY
BASIC FEE			\$710.00	\$355.00
	NUMBER FILED	NUMBER EXTRA	RATE FEE	RATE FEE
TOTAL CLAIMS	22- 20 =	2	X 18 = \$36.00	x 9 = \$0.00
INDEPENDENT CLAIMS	2- 3 =	0	x 80 = \$0.00	x 40 = \$0.00
MULTIPLE DEPENDENT <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CLAIMS PRESENTED			+ \$270.00	+ \$135.00
TOTAL			\$270.00	\$0.00

- ☒ The application transmitted herewith is filed in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.41(c). The undersigned has been authorized by the inventor(s) to file the present application. The original duly executed declaration together with the surcharge will be forwarded in due course.

Docket No. 1614-244P


- ☒ A check in the amount of \$1,016.00 to cover the filing fee is enclosed.
- ☐ Please charge Deposit Account No. 02-2448 in the amount of \$0.00. A triplicate copy of this transmittal form is enclosed.
- ☒ Please send correspondence to:

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP or Customer No. 2292
P.O. Box 747
Falls Church, VA 22040-0747
Telephone: (703) 205-8000

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies, to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16 or 1.17; particularly, extension of time fees.

Respectfully submitted,

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

By  #32,868
Gerald M. Murphy, Jr., #28,977

GMM/rem
1614-244P

P.O. Box 747
Falls Church, VA 22040-0747
(703) 205-8000

Attachments

(REV. 09/29/2000)

IN THE U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: VALENTA, Rudolf et al.
Appl. No.: NEW Group:
Filed: October 26, 2000 Examiner:
For: NON-ANAPHYLACTIC FORMS OF GRASS POLLEN PHL
P 6 ALLERGEN AND THEIR USE

PRELIMINARY AMENDMENT

Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, DC 20231

October 26, 2000

Sir:

The following preliminary amendments and remarks are respectfully submitted in connection with the above-identified application.

AMENDMENTS

IN THE CLAIMS:

Please amend the claims as follows:

CLAIM 7: Line 4, change "any one of claims 1 to 5" to

--Claim 1--

CLAIM 8: Lines 2 and 3, change "any one of claims 1 to 5" to

--Claim 1--

CLAIM 9: Line 2, change "any one of claims 1 to 5" to

--Claim 1--

CLAIM 10: Lines 2 and 3, change "any one of claims 1 to 5" to
--Claim 1--

CLAIM 11: Line 1, change "any one of claims 1 to 5" to
--Claim 1--

CLAIM 12: Line 1, change "any one of claims 1 to 5" to
--Claim 1--

REMARKS


The claims have been amended to delete multiple dependencies and place the application into better form for examination.

Entry of the above amendments is earnestly solicited. An early and favorable first action on the merits is earnestly solicited.

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies, to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.16 or under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17; particularly, extension of time fees.

Respectfully submitted,

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

By  #32868
Gerald M. Murphy, Jr., #28,977

GMM/rem
1614-244P

P.O. Box 747
Falls Church, VA 22040-0747
(703) 205-8000

IN THE U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

I N F O R M A T I O N S H E E T

Applicant: VALENTA, Rudolf
 VRTALA, Susanne
 STUMMFOLL, Sabine
 GRONLUND, Hans
 GROTE, Monika
 VANGELISTA, Luca
 PASTORE, Annalisa
 SPERR, Wolfgang R.
 VALENT, Peter
 KRAFT, Dietrich

Application No.:

Filed: October 26, 2000

For: NON-ANAPHYLACTIC FORMS OF GRASS POLLEN ALLERGEN PH1 P 6 AND
 THEIR USE

Priority Claimed:

COUNTRY	DATE	NUMBER
SWEDEN	10/29/99	9903950-5
USA	11/08/99	60/164,148


Send Correspondence to: BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP
 P. O. Box 747
 Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747
 (703) 205-8000

The above information is submitted to advise the USPTO of all relevant facts in connection with the present application. A timely executed Declaration in accordance with 37 CFR 1.64 will follow.

Respectfully submitted,

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

By

 #32868
 GERALD M. MURPHY, JR.

Reg. No. 28,977

P. O. Box 747

Falls Church, VA 22040-0747

/rem

(703) 205-8000

NON-ANAPHYLACTIC FORMS OF GRASS POLLEN Phl p 6 ALLERGEN AND THEIR USE

The present invention relates to non-anaphylactic, i.e. hypoallergenic, forms of
5 the major timothy grass pollen allergen Phl p 6 and the use of the forms for
hyposensitization and for diagnosis. The invention also relates to a method for
hyposensitization of a mammalian individual, typically a human individual, suffering
from type I allergy against the Phl p 6 allergen.

Type I allergy is a genetically determined hypersensitivity disease that
10 affects more than 20% of the population in industrialized countries (1). As a
consequence of this immuno-disorder, allergic patients produce IgE antibodies
against *per se* innocuous, mostly air-borne proteins from pollen, mites, moulds and
animal hair/dander. The symptoms of Type I allergy (allergic rhinitis,
conjunctivitis, allergic asthma and anaphylactic shock) result from allergen-induced
15 crosslinking of effector cell (mast cell, basophil)-bound IgE antibodies and
subsequent release of inflammatory mediators (2). Since approximately 40% of
allergic individuals suffer symptoms following contact with grass pollen, research
has concentrated on the characterization of relevant grass pollen allergens by
protein and immunochemical methods (3). While groups of major allergens have
20 been identified as cross-reactive moieties that occur in most grass species (4),
nothing was known concerning their nature and biological functions.

The recent application of molecular biological techniques to allergen
characterization has revealed the primary structures of allergens and facilitated the
production of recombinant allergens for diagnostic and therapeutic purposes (5).
25 Components of the plant cytoskeleton (e. g., profilin) (6) as well as calcium-binding
pollen proteins (7) have been identified as relevant allergens. The fact that allergic
patients exhibit immediate type reactions upon contact with various unrelated
allergen sources thus can be explained by cross-reactivity of their IgE antibodies
with ubiquitous allergens. Evidence that group 1 grass pollen allergens belong to a
30 family of cell wall-loosening proteins (expansins) (8) and grass group 5 allergens
may possess RNase activity (9) has restimulated ideas that the biological function
of a given protein may be related to its allergenicity. The recent findings that major
grass pollen allergens can either become attached to small sized particles (e. g.,

group 1 allergens to diesel exhaust (10)) or may become airborne as small pollen subcompartments (e. g., group 5 allergens in amyloplasts (11)) would provide a possible mechanism of how certain allergens may be able to reach the deep airways of patients and to elicit allergic asthma.

5 Therapy of Type I allergic diseases is currently performed by pharmacological treatment and by specific immunotherapy. Specific immunotherapy has been established already early in this century (Noon, Lancet 1 (1911) 1572-1573) and involves the systemic application of increasing doses of allergens for extended periods. Although specific immunotherapy is recognized as effective treatment, the occurrence
10 of anaphylactic side effects represents one of the major disadvantages of this therapy. To reduce anaphylactic reactions the use of T-cell epitopes has recently been proposed for allergen specific immunotherapy (Briner et al., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 90 (1993) 7608-7612, and Norman, Curr. Opin. Immunol. 5 (1993) 986-973). Allergens harbour a great variety of different T-cell epitopes (Ebner et al., J. Immunol 150 (1993)
15 1047-1054; Joost-van-Neerven et al., J. Immunol. 151 (1993) 2326-2335; and Schenket al., J. Allergy Clin. Immunol. 96 (1995) 986-996) which may overlap with continuous IgE-epitopes. To prevent crosslinking of effector cell (mast cell, basophil) bound IgE and mediator release, T-cell epitopes and IgE epitopes need to be dissected.

Vrtala et al., J. Clin. Invest. 99(7) 1673-1681 (1997) and WO 99/16467 disclose
20 a novel strategy of reducing the anaphylactic activity of the major birch allergen Bet v 1 by disrupting the three dimensional structure by expressing two parts of the Bet v 1 cDNA representing amino acids 1-74 and 75-160 in *Escherichia coli*. In contrast to the complete recombinant Bet v 1, the recombinant fragments showed almost no allergenicity. Both non-anaphylactic fragments induced proliferation of human Bet v 1-
25 specific T cell clones, indicating that they harboured all dominant T cell epitopes and therefore could be used for safe and specific T cell immunotherapy. The success of this strategy was believed to be due to the fact that the Bet v 1 allergen possesses discontinuous (i.e. conformational) IgE epitopes rather than continuous IgE epitopes as is the case for many other allergens.

30 In contrast to the major birch allergen Bet v 1, the major timothy grass pollen allergen Phi p 6 contains continuous (sequential) IgE epitopes and would therefore not be susceptible to the above fragmentation strategy to reduce anaphylactic activity as outlined above.

According to the present invention, however, it has surprisingly and most unexpectedly been found that Phl p 6 deletion variants may be constructed by genetic (recombinant) or synthetic fragmentation, which fragments may be used for specific immunotherapy of grass pollen allergy with reduced anaphylactic side effects. Such fragments with strongly reduced anaphylactic ability will below be referred to as non-anaphylactic or hypoallergenic.

In a first aspect of the present invention there is provided a hypoallergenic immunogenic molecule derived from the Phl p 6 allergen, wherein the Phl p 6 molecule has an N-terminal and/or C-terminal deletion which makes the molecule at least substantially lack IgE binding capacity.

The N-terminal or C-terminal deletion may be a terminal truncation of the allergen. The deletion may also be internal within the N-terminal or C-terminal part of the allergen, respectively.

The allergen molecule fragments may be produced by recombinant DNA techniques or peptide synthetic chemistry as is *per se* well known to the skilled person.

In a second aspect, the present invention provides a hypoallergenic immunogenic combination of molecules derived from the Phl p 6 allergen, comprising (i) a Phl p 6 molecule having an N-terminal deletion which makes the molecule at least substantially lack IgE binding capacity, and (ii) a Phl p 6 molecule having a C-terminal deletion which makes the molecule at least substantially lack IgE binding capacity, which two molecules together encompass the complete amino acid sequence of the Phl p 6 allergen.

For together encompassing the complete amino acid sequence of Phl p 6, the respective sequences of the two Phl p 6 molecules may overlap or be contiguous.

The sizes of the N-terminal and C-terminal deletions of the Phl p 6 allergen necessary for the fragments to be useful for the purposes of the invention, i.e. that the fragments are (i) immunogenic and (ii) non-IgE reactive, may readily be determined by the skilled person. Thus, the lack or presence of IgE binding ability of a particular N-terminal or C-terminally deletion molecule may easily be determined, the lack of IgE reactivity indicating that the molecule may be applied without or with low risk of inducing anaphylactic side effects. Immunogenic activity of the molecules may be determined by their capability of being recognized by a polyclonal antiserum to the complete Phl p 6 allergen. In this way fragments and fragment combinations,

respectively, may be selected which have a very high likelihood of being capable of eliciting immune responses which protect against the complete allergen.

A third aspect of the invention is a specific hyposensitization therapy. Such therapy may be performed as known in the art for protein allergens and encompasses administering repeatedly to the mammal, typically a human individual, suffering from type 1 allergy against the allergen an immunogen that is capable of raising an IgG immune response against the allergen. The immunogen may be admixed with suitable adjuvants such as aluminium oxide. Administration may be done systemically, for instance by injection, infusion, etc, but also the oral route has been suggested in order to expose the intestinal part of the immune system. See also Norman PS, "Current status of immunotherapy for allergies and anaphylactic reactions" Adv. Internal. Medicine 41 (1996) 681-713.

Here, the immunogen to be administered may be an immunogenic molecule according to the first aspect of the invention, or a mixture of such molecules, preferably, the above-mentioned hypoallergenic immunogenic combination of molecules derived from the Phl p 6 allergen according to the second aspect of the invention, i.e. (i) a Phl p 6 molecule having an N-terminal deletion which makes the molecule at least substantially lack IgE binding capacity, and (ii) a Phl p 6 molecule having a C-terminal deletion which makes the molecule at least substantially lack IgE binding capacity, which two molecules together encompass the complete amino acid sequence of Phl p 6.

More specifically, the immunogens may be used to induce antibody responses in a patient, and/or to elicit T cell response, and/or to modulate antibody and T cell response to induce tolerance.

A fourth aspect of the present invention provides the use the immunogen according to the first aspect, or the combination of immunogens according to the second aspect, as an antigen in an immunoassay for detecting specific antibodies of the IgA, IgD, IgE, IgG or IgM class directed against the Phl p 6 allergen from which the immunogen(s) derive. Appropriate assay variants involve formation of a ternary immune complex between the immunogen, sample antibody and an antibody directed against the Ig-class of interest. The sample may be any Ig-containing biological fluids, for instance a blood derived sample (serum, plasma, whole blood), CSF, etc. Especially, the hypoallergenic fragments may be used for diagnostic monitoring (e.g. IgG

measurements, measurement of T cell responses) during therapy when inducing a new immune response against the fragments.

The invention will now be illustrated by the following non-limiting Example.

EXAMPLE

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Biological materials, patients sera, antisera, recombinant allergens.

Pollen from timothy grass (*Phleum pratense*), rye grass (*Lolium perenne*),
 10 rye (*Secale cereale*), Kentucky blue grass (*Poa pratensis*), wheat (*Triticum sativum*), cultivated oat (*Avena sativa*) and common reed (*Phragmites communis*) were from Allergon AB, (Välinge, Sweden). Timothy grass seeds were purchased from Austrosaat, (Vienna, Austria) and grown for 4 weeks to obtain fresh leaves and roots. Patients allergic to grass pollen were characterized as described (4). The
 15 rabbit anti-celery profilin antiserum (RP1) is described (12). A rabbit anti-rPhl p 6 antiserum was raised against purified, recombinant Phl p 6 using Freund's adjuvants (Charles River, Kisslegg, Germany). Recombinant timothy grass pollen allergens, rPhl p 1, rPhl p 2 and rPhl p 5 were purified as described (13). Recombinant timothy grass pollen profilin was purified by poly (L-proline) affinity
 20 chromatography (6).

Isolation and characterization of cDNAs coding for Phl p 6 isoforms/fragments.

Three hundred and fifty IgE-reactive clones were isolated from an expression cDNA library constructed from mature timothy grass pollen in phage λ gt 11 (14).
 25 Six cDNAs (c121, c142, c146, c171, c223, c233) with sequence homology to a Phl p 6-encoding cDNA (15) were subcloned into plasmid pUC18 and sequenced (16, 17). Sequences were analyzed using the McVector program (Kodak, Rochester, NY). Search for Phl p 6-homologous protein sequences was done with the FastA program (GCG package) (18) in the SwissProt database. The sequences of Hol I 5
 30 and Hor v 5 allergens were retrieved from the EMBL database. Multiple sequence alignment was produced with ClustalW (19) and edited by hand. The GDE sequence editor (S. Smith, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA) and COLORMASK (J. Thompson, EMBL, Heidelberg, Germany) were used to colour

conserved residues with related properties (19). Protein secondary structure and surface accessibility predictions were done with the PHD program on the EMBL PredictProtein server (20).

5 *Mapping of Phl p 6 IgE epitopes. expression and purification of recombinant Phl p 6.*

The IgE binding capacity of phage clones expressing Phl p 6 isoforms and fragments was investigated by a plaque lift assay (21). The DNA coding for the mature Phl p 6 allergen was PCR-amplified from the clone 142 DNA, subcloned
10 into the NdeI/Eco R I site of pET-17b. Recombinant Phl p 6 was expressed in *E. coli* BL 21 (DE 3) in liquid culture. Cells were suspended in 25 mM Imidazole, pH 7.4, 0.1% Triton X-100 and lysed by addition of lysozyme (20 µg/g cells) for 30 minutes at room temperature as well as by freeze-thawing cycles. DNA was digested with DNase I (0.1 mg/g cell pellet) for 20 minutes at room temperature.
15 The protein extract was centrifuged for 20 min at 10.000xg (Sorvall RC5C; SS34 rotor) to remove insoluble materials. rPhl p 6 was enriched in a precipitate obtained by addition of ammonium sulfate (40-60% w/v). The precipitate was dissolved in 10mM Tris pH 6, dialyzed against this buffer and after centrifugation (20min, 10.000g, Sorvall RC5C; SS34 rotor) was applied to a diethylaminoethyl cellulose-
20 Sepharose column (Pharmacia). Unbound proteins were eluted with 10 mM Tris, pH 6, 4% v/v isopropanol. Fractions containing more than 80% pure Phl p 6 were adjusted to pH 8 with NaOH and subjected to a second chromatography step on a diethylaminoethyl cellulose-Sepharose column. Elution of bound proteins with a 0-0.5 M NaCl gradient at pH 8 yielded fractions containing pure rPhl p 6 which
25 were dialyzed against H₂O dd.

MALDI-TOF (Matrix assisted laser desorption and ionisation - time of flight) and CD (circular dichroism) analysis of purified recombinant Phl p 6.

Laser desorption mass spectra were acquired in a linear mode with a
30 time-of-flight Compact MALDI II instrument (Kratos, Manchester, UK) (piCHEM, Graz, Austria). CD spectra were recorded on a Jasco J-710 spectropolarimeter fitted with a Jasco PTC-348WI peltier type temperature control system and interfaced with a Fisons HAAKE GH water bath. Far ultraviolet CD spectra were recorded at

20°C in a 2 mm path-length quartz cuvette (Hellma, Mullheim, Baden, Germany) at a protein concentration of 7 µM. Thermal denaturation of Phl p 6 was monitored by recording the ellipticity during temperature increase (50°C/h) at 220 nm. The reversibility of the unfolding process was checked by measuring the restoration of the CD signal upon cooling (50°C/h) to the starting temperature (20°C). The fraction of folded protein was calculated as $F=1-U$, where $U=(\Theta_{220}-\Theta_N)/(\Theta_U-\Theta_N)$. Θ_N is the ellipticity of the protein in the native state and Θ_U that of the denatured protein. For rPhl p 6, Θ_U was assumed to be equal to Θ_{220} at 85°C and Θ_N to Θ_{220} at 20°C.

IgE-binding capacity of recombinant Phl p 6, cross-reactivity with natural Phl p 6 and other timothy grass pollen allergens.

The prevalence of IgE anti-rPhl p 6 reactivity was determined in sera from 171 grass pollen allergic patients and, for control purposes, in sera from non-atopic persons by ELISA (13). The presence of cross-reactive IgE epitopes on natural and rPhl p 6 was investigated by IgE immunoblot inhibition experiments (4). A possible immunological relationship between rPhl p 6 and recombinant timothy grass pollen allergens (rPhl p 1, rPhl p 2, rPhl p 5) (13) was studied by ELISA competition as described (4).

Histamine release experiments.

Granulocytes were isolated from heparinized blood samples of grass pollen allergic individuals containing rPhl p 6-reactive IgE antibodies by dextran sedimentation (22). Cells were incubated with increasing concentrations of purified rPhl p 5, rPhl p 6, and with an anti-human IgE antibody (E124.2.8 D&2, Immunotech, Marseille, France). Histamine released into the supernatants was measured by radioimmunoassay (Immunotech, Marseille, France).

Skin testing.

After informed consent was obtained skin prick tests were performed on the forearms of the individuals as described (23). Individuals were pricked with 20 µl aliquots containing different concentrations (1 µg/ml, 10 µg/ml, 100 µg/ml) of

purified rPhl p 6, rPhl p 5 and with timothy grass pollen extract, histamine and sodium chloride (ALK, Horsholm, Denmark).

5 *Analysis of the presence of Phl p 6-related allergens in other grass species and tissue-specific expression of Phl p 6.*

Protein extracts from pollens, leaves and roots were obtained by homogenizing the tissues in SDS-sample buffer (24). Insoluble materials were removed by centrifuging the extracts (10.000xg, 20 min; Sorvall RC5C, SS34 rotor). Protein extracts were separated by 14% SDS-PAGE (25) and blotted onto 10 nitrocellulose (26). Nitrocellulose strips were probed with a rabbit anti-celery profilin antiserum, RP1, (12), the rabbit anti-rPhl p 6 antiserum and the latter rabbits preimmune serum. Bound rabbit antibodies were detected with a 1:1000 diluted ¹²⁵I-labeled donkey anti-rabbit Ig antiserum (Amersham).

15 *In situ localization of Phl p 6 by immunogold electron microscopy.*

Timothy grass pollen grains were unhydrously fixed as described (27). Ultrathin sections were incubated with equal concentrations of either rabbit anti-rPhl p 6 Ig (Ig: protein G-purified immunoglobulin fraction) or preimmune Ig. Bound rabbit antibodies were detected with goat anti-rabbit IgG antibodies coupled to 10 nm colloidal gold particles 20 (Plano, Wetzlar, Germany) (27).

Construction of hypoallergenic Phl p 6 (Phleum pratense) deletion variants.

N-terminal and C-terminal Phl p 6 deletion variants were generated to represent aa 1-57 and aa 31-110. cDNAs coding for Phl p 6 aa 1-57 and Phl p 6 aa 31-110 were obtained 25 by PCR amplification of the Phl p 6 cDNA (clone #142) using the following oligonucleotide primers:

For Phl p 6 aa 1-57:

5': GGG AAT TCC ATA TGG GGA AGG CCA CGA CC 3'

5': CGG GGT ACC CTA GTG GTG GTG GTG GTG GGG CGC CTT TGA

30 AAC 3'

For Phl p 6 aa 31-110:

5': GGG AAT TCC ATA TGG CAG ACA AGT ATA AG 3'

5': CCG GAA TTC CTA GTG GTG GTG GTG GTG GTG CGC GCC GGG CTT

GAC 3'

Eco R I and Kpn I sites are printed in italics, Nde I sites and a His-tag, which has been introduced at the C-terminus, are underlined.

The PCR-products were cut with Nde I/Kpn I (aa 1-57) or with Nde I/Eco R I (aa 31-110), purified by preparative agarose gel electrophoresis, subcloned into plasmid pET-17b (Novagen) and transformed into *E. coli* BL 21 (DE3) (Novagen). Colonies expressing the correct deletion variants were identified by immunoscreening using a rabbit anti-Phl p 6 antiserum. DNA from positive clones was isolated using Qiagen tips (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) and sequenced (MWG-Biotec, Hilden, Germany).

Expression of Phl p 6 deletion variants in E. coli and testing of their IgE-binding capacity

Recombinant Phl p 6 aa 1-57 and Phl p 6 aa 31-110 were expressed in *E. coli* BL 21 (DE 3) by induction with 0.5 mM isopropyl- β -thiogalactopyranoside at an OD₆₀₀ of 0.8 in liquid culture for 5 h at 37°C. Equal amounts of rPhl p 6, rPhl p 6 aa 1-57 and rPhl p 6 aa 31-110 were separated by SDS-PAGE and blotted onto nitrocellulose.

Nitrocellulose strips were incubated with serum IgE from allergic individuals, nonallergic control persons, with a rabbit anti-Phl p 6 antiserum and a rabbit preimmunserum. Bound IgE antibodies were detected with ¹²⁵I-labeled anti-human IgE antibodies and bound rabbit antibodies with ¹²⁵I-labeled donkey anti-rabbit antibodies.

RESULTS

Isolation and characterization of cDNAs coding for isoforms/fragments of Phl p 6.

Six cDNA clones (c142, c223, c171, c121, c233, c146), coding for Phl p 6 isoforms/fragments were isolated from a timothy grass pollen λ gt11 library with serum IgE from a grass pollen allergic patient. The sequences of the described clones have been deposited in the GenBank database (Accession numbers: Y16955-Y16960). The deduced amino acid sequence of Phl p 6 (clone 142) contained a 28 aa hydrophobic leader peptide. A molecular mass of 11.8 kDa and a pI of 5.5 were calculated for the mature Phl p 6 (clone 142) protein which starts with a glycine residue and shows a high content of alanine residues (20.9%). The computer-aided secondary structure analysis of Phl p 6 indicates a predominant helical content and the calculation of solvent accessibility predicts that many of the N-terminal amino

acids are solvent exposed while most of the C-terminal amino acids appeared buried. A search for sequence motifs revealed the presence of one potential N-linked glycosylation site (NAS: aa 15-17), one N-terminal myristoylation site (GKAT: aa 1-4), two cAMP-dependent protein kinase phosphorylation sites (KATT: aa 2-5; KYKT: aa 33-36) and two peroxisomal targeting sequences (GKA: aa 1-3; SKA: aa 54-56). The deduced Phl p 6 amino acid sequence displayed identity with a recently submitted Phl p 6 sequence (15) and similarities with the N-terminal portions of group 5 grass pollen allergens. However, Phl p 6 specific IgE shows little or no crossreactivity with group 5 allergens. A comparison with group 5 grass pollen allergens is given in Virtala, S., et al., J. Immunol. 1999, 163(10): 5489-5496 (37) (the disclosure of which is incorporated by reference herein). Figure 1A therein shows a multiple sequence alignment, secondary structure and solvent accessibility prediction of Phl p 6 variants and group 5 allergens.

15 *The Phl p 6 N-terminus is relevant for IgE binding.*

Nitrocellulose-bound β -gal-fused complete (c223, c142), N-terminally truncated rPhl p 6 (c171, c121, c233, c146) and, for control purposes, β -gal alone were exposed to serum IgE from 9 grass pollen allergic individuals and a non-allergic person (Figure 1). Results obtained showed that the two complete Phl p 6 isoforms and a Phl p 6 fragment lacking only 4 of the N-terminal amino acids strongly bound IgE from all grass pollen allergic patients tested and that the IgE binding capacity of the partial Phl p 6 clones decreased depending on the number of amino acids which were absent from the proteins' N-terminus. A partial clone (clone 121) lacking the N-terminal 30 amino acids had almost completely lost its IgE binding capacity (Figure 1).

E. coli expression and purification of recombinant Phl p 6. IgE binding capacity of purified rPhl p 6.

rPhl p 6 was overexpressed in *E. coli* BL21 (DE3). A combination of several purification steps yielded pure and soluble rPhl p 6 (approximately 5mg protein/liter *E. coli* culture) which by SDS-PAGE was identified as one of the low molecular weight timothy grass pollen allergens (Figure 2A). MALDI-TOF analysis of purified recombinant Phl p 6 resulted in two mass/charge peaks of 11790 and

5896 corresponding to the MH⁺ and M2H2⁺ species of the sample which were in agreement with the deduced Phl p 6 molecular mass (11789 Da).

In 128 sera from 171 grass pollen allergic patients but in no serum from 10 non-allergic individuals rPhl p 6-specific IgE antibodies were detected. Preabsorption of sera from grass pollen allergic patients with rPhl p 6 led to a great reduction of IgE binding to a 10-14 kDa moiety in nitrocellulose-blotted timothy grass pollen extract indicating that rPhl p 6 and natural Phl p 6 share IgE epitopes. ELISA competition experiments demonstrated that only a small percentage (<20%) of Phl p 5-specific IgE could be preabsorbed with rPhl p 6. IgE binding to rPhl p 1, rPhl p 2 and recombinant timothy grass profilin was not reduced after preincubation of sera with rPhl p 6. These results identify Phl p 6 as a major allergen which is distinct from other grass pollen allergens.

rPhl p 6 folds in a stable all alpha helical conformation.

The far-ultraviolet CD spectrum of purified rPhl p 6 (Figure 2B) indicates that the protein contains a considerable amount of alpha-helical secondary structure. The spectrum is characterized by two broad minima at 208nm and 220nm and a maximum at 191nm. The secondary structure prediction (37) is in good agreement with the CD measurements as it indicates predominant alpha helical secondary structure content. The unfolding transition of rPhl p 6 is monophasic and highly cooperative with a melting point of 61°C. At 85°C, rPhl p 6 assumes a random coil conformation, with a typical minimum at 200nm. rPhl p 6 shows a high degree of folding reversibility, evident from the cooling curve profile (Figure 2C) and the far-UV spectrum recorded at 20°C after cooling from 85°C (Figure 2B).

Recombinant Phl p 6 induces dose dependent basophil histamine release and immediate type skin reactions in grass pollen allergic patients.

Purified rPhl p 6 induced specific and dose-dependent histamine release from basophils of a grass pollen allergic patient (Figure 3A). rPhl p 5 which represents a highly active grass pollen allergen (14, Valenta and Flicker, unpublished data) induced maximal release already at a lower concentration compared to rPhl p 6. In four grass pollen allergic patients but not in the non-allergic individuals, rPhl p 6, rPhl p 5 and timothy grass pollen extract induced immediate type skin reactions (Table 1; Figure 3B). While no reactions to sodium

chloride were observed, histamine induced wheal reactions in all individuals tested (Table 1; Figure 3B).

Group 6 allergens represent pollen-specific proteins.

5 While major groups of grass pollen allergens occur in pollens of most grass species (4), group 6 allergens were reported to occur exclusively in timothy grass (*Phleum pratense*) pollen (15). A rabbit anti-rPhl p 6 antiserum cross-reacted with group 5 allergens in nitrocellulose blotted pollen extracts from various monocots (*Phleum pratense*, *Lolium perenne*, *Secale cereale*, *Triticum sativum*, *Avena sativa*,
10 *Phragmites communis*) between 25-28 kDa (Figure 4A, lanes 2). Phl p 6 or Phl p 6-related allergens at 11 kDa were detected exclusively in pollens from *Phleum pratense* and *Poa pratensis*. Although a putative N-glycosylation site was found in the aminoacid sequence deduced from the Phl p 6 cDNA sequence, comparable molecular weights observed for natural and recombinant Phl p 6 exclude heavy
15 glycosylation of natural Phl p 6 (Figures 4A, 2A). Rabbit anti-rPhl p 6 antibodies strongly reacted with Phl p 6 at 11 kDa in nitrocellulose-blotted timothy grass pollen but not with leaf or root extracts (Figure 4B, lanes 2). Profilin was detected in all three tissues at approximately 14 kDa (Figure 4B, lanes 1).

20 *Immunoelectronmicroscopical localization of Phl p 6 in timothy grass pollen.*

Using post-embedding immunogold electron microscopy, rabbit anti-rPhl p 6 antibodies bound to the numerous polysaccharide (P-) particles which fill much of the interior of a mature timothy grass pollen grain (Figure 4C). The greatest accumulation of gold particles was observed on sectioned surfaces of the P-particles
25 indicating that Phl p 6 is present on rather than in the P-particles. Little (cytosol, exine) or no (mitochondria, intine) anti-rPhl p 6 immunoreactivity was observed in other parts of the pollen grain. Likewise almost no gold particles were detected in the amyloplasts. This localization pattern, taken together with our finding that a rabbit anti-rPhl p 5 antiserum failed to label the P-particles (data not shown)
30 excludes the possibility that the immunolabeling of the P-particles resulted from the presence of cross-reactive group 5 allergens. Control experiments performed with preimmune Ig yielded only a few non-specifically adsorbed gold particles (Figure 4D).

Phl p 6 deletion variants (aa 1-57, aa 31-110) exhibit strongly reduced IgE binding capacity.

Nitrocellulose-blotted complete rPhl p 6 (Figure 5A), rPhl p 6 variant aa 1-57 (Figure 5B) and rPhl p 6 variant aa 31-110 (Figure 5C) were exposed to 13 sera from grass pollen allergic patients, to a serum from a non-atopic person and to a rabbit anti-rPhl p 6 antiserum. While all 13 grass pollen allergic patients displayed IgE reactivity to complete recombinant Phl p 6 (Figure 5A), variant aa 1-57 was recognized by serum 11 and weakly by serum 13 (Figure 5B). Phl p 6 variant aa 31-110 reacted only weakly with serum 7 and 11 (Figure 5C). Serum from the non-atopic individual failed to react with complete rPhl p 6 and the deletion variants. The rabbit anti-rPhl p 6 antiserum showed reactivity of comparable intensity to complete rPhl p 6 and the two deletion variants (Figures 5A-C: lanes 15) whereas the rabbits preimmune serum showed no reactivity in the molecular weight range of the molecules (Figures 5A-C: lanes 16).

IgG1-reactivity of mouse anti-rPhl p 6 or anti-rPhl p 6 aa 31-110 antisera to rPhl p 6

Mouse IgG1 raised against complete rPhl p 6 and rPhl p 6 aa 31-110 react with rPhl p 6 (Table II).

rPhl p 6 derivatives have a greatly reduced capacity to induce histamine release

Granulocytes from a patient allergic to grass pollen were incubated with various concentrations of purified rPhl p 6, rPhl p 6 aa 1-57, rPhl p 6 aa 31-110, rPhl p 6 aa 1-33 or an anti-IgE mAb (E124.2.8 D&2, Immunotech, Marseilles, France). Histamine released into the supernatant was measured by RIA (Immunotech) (Figure 6). Purified rPhl p 6 induced a specific and dose-dependent histamine release from basophils of a patient allergic to grass pollen, whereas rPhl p 6-derivatives aa 1-57 and aa 31-110 did not induce any histamine release up to a concentration of 1 µg/ml. Phl p 6 aa 1-33 induced a 50% release of histamine at a concentration of 1 µg/ml, which represents an approximately 1000 fold reduction of histamine release compared to complete rPhl p 6.

DISCUSSION

Approximately 40% of allergic patients display immediate type symptoms after contact with grass pollen (3). We have isolated cDNAs coding for isoforms

and fragments of a major timothy grass pollen allergen, designated Phl p 6. Phl p 6 represents a 11.8 kDa protein allergen which is recognized by IgE antibodies of 75% of grass pollen allergic patients. The prevalence of IgE recognition of rPhl p 6 is thus in accordance with that reported earlier for natural Phl p 6 and indicates that carbohydrate moieties do not play a relevant role in IgE recognition of Phl p 6 (28, 29). In agreement with peptide sequence data obtained for natural Phl p 6 we found that the deduced amino acid sequence of rPhl p 6 shows a high degree of sequence homology with the N-terminal portions of group 5 grass pollen allergens, a family of 25-35 kDa major grass pollen allergens (29, 14). Due to the presence of an N-terminal hydrophobic leader peptide, Phl p 6 represents an independent allergen, rather than a group 5 allergen fragment. In agreement with the proposal of other authors who analyzed a Phl p 6 encoding cDNA clone (15) we suggest that group 5 and group 6 allergens may have evolved from common ancestor genes similar as has been described for group 1 and group 2/3 grass pollen allergens (30). The assumption that Phl p 6 belongs to an independent group of grass pollen allergens is also supported by our finding that Phl p 6 shares few cross-reactive IgE epitopes with group 5 and no with other grass pollen allergens. The prediction of solvent accessibility indicated that many of the Phl p 6 N-terminal amino acids are solvent exposed while most of the C-terminal amino acid residues appeared to be buried. While no proof, this finding is in agreement with data obtained from the IgE epitope mapping experiments which indicate that the proteins N-terminus is critically involved in IgE recognition. It is however equally possible that the N-terminus itself represents a dominant IgE epitope or that deletion of the N-terminus affects conformational Phl p 6 IgE epitopes.

Expression of Phl p 6 in *E. coli* yielded large amounts of soluble and folded recombinant protein which contained almost exclusive alpha helical secondary structure. The alpha helical fold of Phl p 6 is a further confirmation that there are no common structural features which predispose a certain protein to behave as an allergen. While Phl p 6 is very likely an all alpha helical protein, Bet v 1, the major birch pollen allergen (31) and Bet v 2, birch profilin (32) have a mixed alpha beta fold. As revealed by CD spectroscopical analysis, rPhl p 6 shares with other immunologically unrelated pollen allergens (e. g., Bet v 1 (33), Bet v 2 (6, 32)) the remarkable intrinsic tendency to refold into a stable conformation after

denaturation. Another feature that is shared by Phl p 6 and other important plant allergens is its high expression in pollen tissue. The fact that most of the plant allergens characterized so far are predominantly expressed in mature pollen may therefore be interpreted as a footprint of sensitization via the respiratory tract (34).

5 By immunogold electron microscopy, Phl p 6 was primarily localized on the P-particles of mature pollen. P-particles are small polysaccharide-containing bodies which represent up to 30% of the contents of the dormant pollen grain and, during pollen germination transfer material into the growing pollen-tube wall (35, 36). The occurrence of Phl p 6 on the P-particles may be of clinical relevance as P-
10 particles could act as small-sized (<2.5 micron) and therefore respirable allergen-carriers that bring Phl p 6 in immediate contact with the bronchial mucosa. A P-particle-linked intrusion of Phl p 6 into the deeper respiratory tract would thus explain the high prevalence (75%) of sensitization against this allergen although only a few grass species (*Phleum pratense*, *Poa pratensis*) contained rabbit anti-
15 rPhl p 6-reactive moieties in the low (10-12 kDa) molecular weight range.

The *Escherichia coli* -expressed purified recombinant Phl p 6 allergen reacted with IgE antibodies of the majority of grass pollen allergic patients and induced basophil histamine release as well as immediate type skin reactions. It may therefore be used for *in vitro* as well as *in vivo* (skin test) diagnosis of grass pollen
20 allergy. Our finding that deletion of the N-terminal portion of Phl p 6 dramatically reduced the allergens IgE binding capacity gave rise to the idea that it may be possible to construct Phl p 6 deletion variants which may be used for specific immunotherapy of grass pollen allergy with reduced anaphylactic side effects. A similar strategy was recently applied to disrupt the conformational IgE epitopes of
25 the major birch pollen allergen Bet v 1 (23) but could not be predicted for Phl p 6 because the latter molecule contained continuous IgE epitopes. We produced N-terminally and C-terminally truncated versions of Phl p 6, of which the variant aa 1-57 and aa 31-110 showed almost completely abolished IgE binding capacity. We propose to use these two hypoallergenic Phl p 6 variants produced as
30 recombinant molecules or by peptide chemistry as candidate vaccines against grass pollen allergy.

TABLES AND FIGURES

TABLE 1. Immediate type skin reactivity to rPhl p 6. Four grass pollen allergic patients (HP, SF, CS, LW) and two non-allergic individuals (SV, SS) were skin tested with purified rPhl p 6, rPhl p 5, with natural timothy grass pollen extract, histamine and isotonic sodium chloride. Results are displayed as the mean diameters (mm) of the wheal reaction.

TABLE II. IgG-1-reactivity of mouse anti-rPhl p 6 or anti-rPhl p 6 aa 31-110 antisera to rPhl p 6.

10

FIGURE 1. IgE reactivity of rPhl p 6 isoforms and fragments. Nitrocellulose filters containing proteins from recombinant λ gt 11 phage expressing two Phl p 6 isoforms (c142, c223), Phl p 6 fragments (c121, c146, c171, c233) and for control purposes, λ gt11 wild type phage (0) were probed with serum IgE from 9 grass pollen-allergic patients (1-9) and from one non-allergic individual (10).

15

FIGURE 2. *A*, Purity of recombinant timothy grass pollen allergens. Coomassie brilliant blue-stained SDS-PAGE containing purified, recombinant timothy grass pollen allergens (Phl p 1, Phl p 2, Phl p 5, Phl p 6, timothy grass pollen profilin) and natural timothy grass pollen extract (Timothy). (M) Molecular weight marker. *B, C* Circular dichroism analysis. *B*, Far-UV circular dichroism spectra of rPhl p 6, expressed as mean residue ellipticity ($[\Theta]$) (y-axis), were recorded in the wavelength range displayed on the x-axis at 20°C (continuous line), 85°C (dotted line) and at 20°C after cooling from 85°C (dashed line). *C*, Thermal denaturation and cooling of purified rPhl p 6 monitored at 220 nm (x-axis: temperature in °C; y-axis: apparent fraction of the folded protein).

20

25

FIGURE 3. *A*, rPhl p 6 induces basophil histamine release. Granulocytes from a grass pollen allergic patient were incubated with various concentrations (x-axis) of purified, recombinant Phl p 6 (triangles), Phl p 5 (points) or a monoclonal anti-IgE antibody (squares). The percentage of histamine released into the supernatant is displayed on the y-axis. Results represent the means (\pm SD) of triplicate determinations.

30

B, Induction of immediate type skin reactions with rPhl p 6 in sensitized allergic patients. Two grass pollen allergic patients (*a*) EW, (*b*) HP and a non-allergic individual (*c*) SV were pricked on their forearms with increasing concentrations of rPhl p 6 and rPhl p 5 as well as with histamine (Hist) and NaCl as indicated in (*a*).

5 The wheal area was surrounded with a ball point pen.

FIGURE 4. Tissue-specific expression of Phl p 6. *A*, Nitrocellulose-blotted grass pollen extracts from various monocots were probed with rabbit preimmune Ig (lanes 1) or rabbit anti-rPhl p 6 Ig (lanes 2). *B*, Comparable amounts of

10 nitrocellulose blotted protein extracts from timothy grass pollen, leaves and roots were incubated with rabbit anti-profilin Ig (lanes 1), rabbit anti-Phl p 6 Ig (lanes 2) or rabbit preimmune Ig (lanes 3).

C, *D*, Ultrastructural localization of Phl p 6. Ultrathin sections of timothy grass pollen were stained with rabbit anti-Phl p 6 Ig (*C*) and with rabbit preimmune Ig 15 (*D*). Bound rabbit antibodies were detected with a gold-conjugated goat anti-rabbit Ig antiserum (gold particles = black dots). Arrows indicate Phl p 6 immunoreactivity on the P-particles. Abbreviations: E: exine; I: intine; P: P-particle. The bars represent 0.250 μ m.

20 **FIGURE 5.** Reduced IgE binding capacity of Phl p 6 deletion variants

Equal amounts of recombinant Phl p 6 (*A*), Phl p 6 aa 1-57 (*B*) and Phl p 6 aa 31-110 (*C*) were tested for IgE-reactivity with sera from timothy grass pollen allergic patients (lane 1-13) and serum from a non-allergic control individual (lane 14). Lane 15 and lane 16 show the reactivity with a rabbit anti-Phl p 6 antiserum and a rabbit preimmuniserum. Bound IgE 25 antibodies were detected with 125 I-labeled anti-human IgE antibodies, bound rabbit antibodies with 125 I-labeled donkey anti-rabbit antibodies and visualized by autoradiography.

FIGURE 6. Granulocytes from a patient allergic to grass pollen were incubated with 30 various concentrations (1 , 10^{-1} , 10^{-2} , 10^{-3} , 10^{-5} and 10^{-7} μ g/ml) of purified rPhl p 6 (points), rPhl p 6 aa 1-57 (up triangles), rPhl p 6 aa 31-110 (down triangles), rPhl p 6 aa 1-33 (rhombus) or an anti-IgE mAb (squares). Histamine released into the supernatant

was measured by RIA and is displayed on the y-axis. Results represent the means of triplicate determinations.

5 REFERENCES

1. Kay, A. B. 1997. *Allergy and Allergic Diseases*. Blackwell Science, Oxford, UK.
2. Segal, D. M., J. D. Taurog, and H. Metzger. 1977. Dimeric immunoglobulin E serves as a unit signal for mast cell degranulation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 41:457.
3. Freidhoff, L. R., E. Ehrlich-Kautzky, J. H. Grant, D. A. Meyers, and D. G. Marsh. 1986. A study of the human immune response to *Lolium perenne* (rye) pollen and its components, *Lol p I* and *Lol p II* (rye I and rye II). *J. Allergy Clin. Immunol.* 78 :1190.
4. Niederberger, V., S. Laffer, R. Fröschl, D. Kraft, H. Rumpold, S. Kapiotis, R. Valenta, and S. Spitzauer. 1998. IgE antibodies to recombinant pollen allergens (Phl p 1, Phl p 2, Phl p 5, and Bet v 2) account for a high percentage of grass pollen-specific IgE. *J. Allergy Clin. Immunol.* 101:258.
5. Valenta, R., and D. Kraft. 1995. Recombinant allergens for diagnosis and therapy of allergic diseases. *Curr. Opin. Immunol.* 7:751.
6. Valenta, R., M. Duchêne, K. Pettenburger, C. Sillaber, P. Valent, P. Bettelheim, M. Breitenbach, H. Rumpold, D. Kraft, and O. Scheiner. 1991. Identification of profilin as a novel pollen allergen; IgE autoreactivity in sensitized individuals. *Science* 253:557.
7. Seiberler, S., O. Scheiner, D. Kraft, D. Lonsdale, and R. Valenta. 1994. Characterization of a birch pollen allergen, Bet v 3, representing a novel class of Ca^{2+} binding proteins: specific expression in mature pollen and dependence of patients IgE binding on protein-bound Ca^{2+} . *EMBO J.* 13:3481.
8. Shcherban, T. Y., J. Shi, D. M. Durachko, M. J. Guiltinan, S. J. McQueen-Mason, M. Shieh, and D. J. Cosgrove. 1995. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 92:9245.

9. Bufe, A., G. Schramm, M. B. Keown, M. Schlaak, and W. M. Becker. 1995.
Major allergen Phl p 5b in timothy grass is a novel pollen RNase. *FEBS Lett.* 363:6.
10. Knox, R. B., C. Suphioglu, P. Taylor, R. Desai, H. C. Watson, J. L. Peng,
and L. A. Bursill. 1997. Major grass pollen allergen Lol p 1 binds to diesel
exhaust particles: implications for asthma and air pollution. *Clin. Exp. Allergy* 27:246.
11. Suphioglu, C., M. B. Singh, P. Taylor, R. Bellomo, P. Holmes, R. Puy, and
R. B. Knox. 1992. Mechanism of grass pollen-induced asthma. *Lancet*
339:569.
12. Vallier, P., C. Dechamp, R. Valenta, O. Vial, and P. Deviller. 1992.
Purification and characterization of an allergen from celery
immunochemically related to an allergen present in several other plant
species. Identification as a profilin. *Clin. Exp. Allergy* 22:774.
13. Vrtala, S., M. Susani, W. R. Sperr, P. Valent, S. Laffer, C. Dolecek, D. Kraft,
and R. Valenta. 1996. Immunologic characterization of purified
recombinant timothy grass pollen (*Phleum pratense*) allergens (Phl p 1,
Phl p 2, Phl p 5). *J. Allergy Clin. Immunol.* 97:781.
14. Vrtala, S., W. R. Sperr, I. Reimitzer, R. van Ree, S. Laffer, W.-D. Müller, P.
Valent, K. Lechner, H. Rumpold, D. Kraft, O. Scheiner, and R. Valenta.
1993. cDNA cloning of a major allergen from timothy grass (*Phleum
pratense*) pollen: characterization of the recombinant Phl p V allergen. *J.
Immunol.* 151:4773.
15. Peterson, A., A. Bufe, G. Schramm, M. Schlaak, and W. M. Becker. 1995.
Characterization of the allergen group VII in timothy grass pollen
(Phl p 6). II. cDNA cloning of Phl p 6 and structural comparison to grass
group V. *Int. Arch. Allergy Immunol.* 108:55.
16. Sambrook, J., E. F. Fritsch, and T. Maniatis. 1989. *Molecular cloning: A
Laboratory Manual*. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring
Harbor, NY.
17. Sanger, F., S. Nicklen, and A. R. Coulson. 1977. DNA sequencing with
chain-terminating inhibitors. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 74:5463.

18. Devereux, J., P. Haeberli, and O. Smithies. 1984. A comprehensive set of analysis programs for the VAX. *Nucl. Acids Res.* 12:387.
19. Thompson, J. D., D. G. Higgins, and T. J. Gibson. 1994. CLUSTAL W: improving the sensitivity of progressive multiple sequence alignment through sequence weighting, position-specific gap penalties and weight matrix choice. *Nucl. Acids Res.* 22:4673.
20. Rost, B., and C. Sander. 1993. Prediction of protein secondary structure at better than 70% accuracy. *J. Mol. Biol.* 232:584.
21. Ball, T., S. Vrtala, W. R. Sperr, P. Valent, M. Susani, D. Kraft, and R. Valenta. 1994. Isolation of an immunodominant IgE-hapten from an epitope expression cDNA library; dissection of the allergic effector reaction. *J. Biol. Chem.* 269:28323.
22. Valent, P., J. Besemer, M. Muhm, O. Majdic, K. Lechner, and P. Bettelheim. 1989. Interleukin 3 activates human blood basophils via high-affinity binding sites. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 86:5542.
23. Vrtala, S., K. Hirtenlehner, L. Vangelista, A. Pastore, H.-G. Eichler, W. R. Sperr, P. Valent, C. Ebner, D. Kraft, and R. Valenta. 1997. Conversion of the major birch pollen allergen, Bet v 1, into two nonanaphylactic T cell epitope-containing fragments; candidates for a novel form of specific immunotherapy. *J. Clin. Invest.* 99:1673.
24. Laemmli, U. K. 1970. Cleavage of structural proteins during the assembly of the head of bacteriophage T4. *Nature* 227:680.
25. Fling, S. P., and D. S. Gregerson. 1986. Peptide and protein molecular weight determination by electrophoresis using a high molarity tris buffer system without urea. *Anal. Biochem.* 155:83.
26. Towbin, H., T. Staehelin, and J. Gordon. 1979. Electrophoretic transfers of proteins from polyacrylamide gels to nitrocellulose sheets: procedure and some applications. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 76:4350.
27. Grote, M., C. Dolecek, R. vanRee, and R. Valenta. 1994. Immunogold electron microscopic localization of timothy grass (*Phleum pratense*) pollen major allergens Phl p 1 and Phl p 5 after anhydrous fixation in acrolein vapor. *J. Histochem. Cytochem.* 42:427.

28. Lowenstein, H. 1978. Isolation and partial characterization of three allergens of timothy pollen. *Allergy* 33:30.
29. Matthiesen, F., L. Friberg, M. Olsen, and H. Lowenstein. 1993. Purification and characterization of the *Phleum pratense* (timothy) pollen allergen Phl p 6. In: *Molecular Biology and Immunology of Allergens*. D. Kraft and A. Schon eds. CRC Press, Boca Raton, pp. 189-191.
30. Dolecek, C., S. Vrtala, S. Laffer, P. Steinberger, D. Kraft, O. Scheiner, and R. Valenta. 1993. Molecular characterization of Phl p II, a major timothy grass (*Phleum pratense*) pollen allergen. *FEBS Lett.* 335:299.
31. Gajhede, M., P. Osmark, F. M. Poulsen, H. Ipsen, J. N. Larsen, R. J. van Neerven, C. Schou, H. Lowenstein, and M. D. Spangfort. 1996. X-ray and NMR structure of Bet v 1, the origin of birch pollen allergy. *Nature Struct. Biol.* 3:1040.
32. Fedorov, A. A., T. Ball, R. Valenta, and S. C. Almo. 1997. Crystal structure and IgE epitope mapping of birch pollen profilin: The molecular basis for allergen cross-reactivity. *Structure* 5:33.
33. Laffer, S., L. Vangelista, P. Steinberger, D. Kraft, A. Pastore, and R. Valenta. 1996. Molecular characterization of Bip 1, a monoclonal antibody that modulates IgE binding to birch pollen allergen, Bet v 1. *J. Immunol.* 157:4943.
34. Valenta, R., and D. Kraft. 1996. Type I allergic reactions to plant-derived food: A consequence of primary sensitization to pollen allergens. *J. Allergy Clin. Immunol.* 97:893.
35. Heslop-Harrison, J., and Y. Heslop-Harrison. 1982. The growth of the grass pollen tube: 1. Characteristics of the polysaccharide particles ("P-particles") associated with apical growth. *Protoplasma* 112:71.
36. Heslop-Harrison, J., Y. Heslop-Harrison, and J. S. Heslop-Harrison. 1997. Motility in ungerminated grass pollen: association of myosin with polysaccharide-containing wall-precursor bodies (P-particles). *Sex. Plant Reprod.* 10:65.
37. Vrtala, S., Fischer, S., Grote, M., Vangelista, L., Pastore, A., Sperr, W., Valent, P., Reichelt, R., Kraft, D., Valenta, R. 1999. Molecular, immunological, and structural characterization of Phl p 6, a major allergen and P-particle-

Table I. Immediate type skin reactivity to rPhl p 6

Individual	Phl p 6 (10 µg/ml)	Phl p 6 (100 µg/ml)	Phl p 5 (10 µg/ml)	Phl p 5 (100 µg/ml)	Timothy grass	Histamine	NaCl
Patients allergic to grasspollen							
• HP	5	16.5	5.5	13	16	5.5	0
• SF	0	13	2	11	8	7	0
• CS	0	12	5	8.5	12	9	0
• LW	2.5	10.5	5	13	9	5.5	0
Non-allergic individuals							
• SV	0	0	0	0	0	6	0
• SS	0	0	0	0	0	7.5	0

Table II. IgG1-reactivity of mouse anti-rPhl p 6 or anti-rPhl p 6 aa 31-110 antisera to rPhl p 6

Mouse anti-rPhl p 6	Preimmuneserum	I. Immuneserum	II. Immuneserum
1	0.060	0.445	>2.5
2	0.061	1.528	>2.5
3	0.065	0.253	>2.5
4	0.061	0.508	>2.5
5	0.062	0.864	>2.5
Mouse anti-rPhl p 6 aa 31-110			
1	0.063	1.218	>2.5
2	0.056	>2.5	>2.5
3	0.057	0.347	>2.5
4	0.054	>2.5	>2.5
5	0.056	0.406	>2.5

CLAIMS

1. A hypoallergenic immunogenic molecule derived from the Phl p 6 allergen, wherein the Phl p 6 molecule has an N-terminal and/or C-terminal deletion which makes the molecule at least substantially lack IgE binding capacity.
2. The immunogenic molecule according to claim 1, wherein the Phl p 6 molecule is N-terminally truncated.
3. The immunogenic molecule according to claim 1, wherein the the Phl p 6 molecule is C-terminally truncated.
4. The immunogenic molecule according to any one of claims 1 to 3 which is produced by recombinant techniques.
5. The immunogenic molecule according to any one of claims 1 to 3 which is produced by peptide synthetic chemistry.
6. A hypoallergenic immunogenic combination of molecules derived from the Phl p 6 allergen, comprising (i) a Phl p 6 molecule having an N-terminal deletion which makes the molecule at least substantially lack IgE binding capacity, and (ii) a Phl p 6 molecule having a C-terminal deletion which makes the molecule at least substantially lack IgE binding capacity, which two molecules together encompass the complete sequence of Phl p 6.
7. A method for the hyposensitization of a mammal suffering from IgE mediated allergy against a protein allergen, comprising the step of presenting the immune system of the mammal *in vivo* to an effective amount of an immunogenic molecule according to any one of claims 1 to 5 or of an immunogenic molecule combination according to claim 6.

8. A method for inducing antibody responses in a mammal, which method comprises administering an immunogenic molecule according to any one of claims 1 to 5 or an immunogenic molecule combination according to claim 6.
- 5 9. A method for inducing T cell responses in a mammal, which method comprises administering an immunogenic molecule according to any one of claims 1 to 5 or an immunogenic molecule combination according to claim 6.
- 10 10. A method for modulating and inducing antibody responses in a mammal, which method comprises administering an immunogenic molecule according to any one of claims 1 to 5 or an immunogenic molecule combination according to claim 6.
- 15 11. The use of the immunogen according to any one of claims 1 to 5, or the immunogenic molecule combination according to claim 6, for the *in vitro* diagnosis of type I allergy in a mammalian individual.
- 20 12. The use of an immunogen according to any one of claims 1 to 5, or the immunogenic molecule combination according to claim 6, for the preparation of a medicament to be used in the hyposensitization of a mammalian individual suffering from a type I allergy, or for the preparation of a reagent to be used in diagnosis *in vivo* of type I allergy.
- 25

[illegible]

bioRxiv preprint doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.14.244444>; this version posted May 14, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license.




Figure 1




Figure 2A




Figure 2B




Figure 2C

5/11




Figure 3A

6/11

a

b

rPhl p 6
100 μ g/ml

rPhl p 5
100 μ g/ml

rPhl p 6
10 μ g/ml

rPhl p 5
10 μ g/ml

rPhl p 6
1 μ g/ml

rPhl p 5
1 μ g/ml

c


timothy
grass

Histamine



d

Figure 3B

A



B



C

D

Figure 4

Phl p 6




Figure 5A

•

•



Figure 5B:

Phl p 6 aa 31-110




Figure 5C





Figure 6

United States Patent & Trademark Office

Office of Initial Patent Examination -- Scanning Division



Application deficiencies were found during scanning:

☐ Page(s) _____ of _____ were not present:
for scanning. (Document title)

☐ Page(s) _____ of _____ were not present:
for scanning. (Document title)

☐ Scanned copy is best available.

Specification / Drawings